EXHIBIT 1

22STCV10876

Assigned for all purposes to: Spring Street Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Michael Whitaker Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 03/30/2022 02:02 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by H. Flores-H

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Matthew Taylor, Esq. (SBN 252556); Karan S. Gill, Esq. (SBN 304055) VAZIRI LAW GROUP, APC. 5757 Wilshire Blvd, Ste. 670 Los Angeles, CA 90036 TELEPHONE NO: 310-777-7540 FAX NO. (Optional): 310-777-0373	FOR COURT USE ONLY
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): mtaylor@vazirilaw.com; kgill@vazirilaw.com attorney for (Name): Plaintiff, Sally Meza	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles STREET ADDRESS: 312 N. Spring St.	
MAILING ADDRESS: 312 N. Spring St.	
city and zip code: Los Angeles, CA 90012 Branch name: Spring Street Courthouse	
PLAINTIFF: Sally Meza	
DEFENDANT: Extended Stay America, Inc.	
DOES 1 TO 25 inclusive	
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	
Type (check all that apply):	
MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify): Premises Liability	
Property Damage Wrongful Death Personal Injury Other Damages (specify):	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000	22STCV10876
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
1. Plaintiff (name or names): Sally Meza alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names):	
Extended Stay America, Inc., and Does 1 to 25 inclusive	
2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of page	ges: 5
3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult a. except plaintiff (name):	
 a except plaintiff (name): (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): 	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard	lian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify):	
(5) other (specify):	
 b except plaintiff (name): (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California 	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult	
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard	lian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify):	
(5) other (specify):	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Atta	nchment 3. Page 1 of 3

PLD-PI-001

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Meza v. Extended Stay America, Inc., et al.	
4. Plaintiff (name): is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):	1
(2) a corporation (2) a c	idant (name): usiness organization, form unknown orporation unincorporated entity (describe):
(4) a public entity (describe):	ublic entity (describe):
(5) other (specify): (5) oth	er (specify):
(2) a corporation (2) a c (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): (4) a public entity (describe):	adant (name): usiness organization, form unknown orporation unincorporated entity (describe): ublic entity (describe): er (specify):
Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained	I in Attachment 5.
6. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.	
a. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 to 25 we named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employmen	re the agents or employees of other t.
 b. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 to 25 plaintiff. 7. Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (name). 	persons whose capacities are unknown to es):
 8. This court is the proper court because a.	
 9. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or b. is excused from complying because (specify): 	

	PLD-PI-001
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Meza v. Extended Stay America, Inc., et al.	
 10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to causes of action attached): a Motor Vehicle b General Negligence c Intentional Tort d Products Liability e Premises Liability f Other (specify): 	each (each complaint must have one or more
 11. Plaintiff has suffered a. wage loss b. loss of use of property c. hospital and medical expenses d. general damage e. property damage f. loss of earning capacity g. vother damage (specify): Future medical expenses; future pain and suffering 	
 12. The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff a. listed in Attachment 12. b. as follows: 	to the deceased are
13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.	
14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and a a. (1) compensatory damages (2) punitive damages The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, y according to proof (2) in the amount of: \$	
15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as Prem.L-1, Prem.L-2, Prem.L-3, Prem.L-4, Prem.L-5 and GN	
Date: March 30, 2022	
Karan S. Gill, Esq.	/s/ Karan S. Gill
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)

			PLD-PI-001(4
	OKT TITLE.	SE NUMBER:	
Mez	eza v. Extended Stay America, Inc., et al.		
	First CAUSE OF ACTION—Premises Li	iability	Page4
	(number) ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)		
	Prem.L-1. Plaintiff (name): Sally Meza alleges the acts of defendants were the legal (proximate) cause of damag On (date): September 18, 2020 plaintiff was injured on the same of the sam		mises in the following
	fashion (description of premises and circumstances of injury):		
	DEFENDANT(S) negligently maintained the premises at or near 601 W E such that they allowed a dimly lit uneven pavement to exist on the premise and sustain injury. DEFENDANT(S) failed to adequately inspect, maintain reasonably safe. DEFENDANT(S) knew or should have known of the dark Plaintiffs fall, but failed to take any action to repair it or warn Plaintiff the dangerous condition(s) causing severe and permanent injuries.	ses thereby causir in and/or make th ngerous condition	ng Plaintiff to fall neir premises n(s) prior to
	Prem.L-2. Count One—Negligence The defendants who negligently owned operated the described premises were (names): Extended Stay America, Inc., and	d, maintained, ma	anaged and
	Prem.L-3. Count Two—Willful Failure to Warn [Civil Code section 846] The or maliciously failed to guard or warn against a dangerous condition (names): Extended Stay America, Inc.		
	Does to		
	Plaintiff, a recreational user, was an invited guest	a paving guest.	
	Prem.L-4. Count Three—Dangerous Condition of Public Property The do on which a dangerous condition existed were (names):		wned public property
	Does	o have corrected ant public entity. the agents and e	it.
	b. Does 1 to 25 b. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and to described in attachment Prem.L-5.b as follows (nare)		eir liability are

			PLD-	PI-001(2)
SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:		
Meza v. Extended Stay A	America, Inc., et al.			
Second (number)	CAUSE OF ACTION—Genera	al Negligence	Page	5
ATTACHMENT TO	Complaint Cross - Complaint			
(Use a separate cause o	of action form for each cause of action.)			
GN-1. Plaintiff (name):	Sally Meza			
alleges that defe	endant (name): Extended Stay America, Inc., and	d		
✓ Do	pes <u>1</u> to <u>25</u>			
negligently cause	roximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following ed the damage to plaintiff	acts or omissions to act	, defendant	
•	tember 18, 2020			
at <i>(place)</i> : Exte	ended Stay America, Inc., 601 W Bonita Ave,	San Dimas, CA 917	73	

(description of reasons for liability):

DEFENDANT(S) negligently maintained the premises at or near 601 W Bonita Ave, San Dimas, CA 91773 such that they allowed a dimly lit uneven pavement to exist on the premises thereby causing Plaintiff to fall and sustain injury. DEFENDANT(S) failed to adequately inspect, maintain and/or make their premises reasonably safe. DEFENDANT(S) knew or should have known of the dangerous condition(s) prior to Plaintiffs fall, but failed to take any action to repair it or warn Plaintiff thereof. Plaintiff fell from the dangerous condition(s) causing severe and permanent injuries.